arXiv:1410.0901v1 [math.AG] 3 Oct 2014

# The affine automorphism group of $\mathbb{A}^3$ is not a maximal subgroup of the tame automorphism group

Eric Edo*and Drew Lewis†

October 6, 2014

**Abstract**

We construct explicitly a family of proper subgroups of the tame automorphism group of affine three-space (in any characteristic) which are generated by the affine subgroup and a non-affine tame automorphism. One important corollary is the titular result that settles negatively the open question (in characteristic zero) of whether the affine subgroup is a maximal subgroup of the tame automorphism group. We also prove that all groups of this family have the structure of an amalgamated free product of the affine group and a finite group over their intersection.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout, $\mathbb{K}$ denotes a field of any characteristic. We denote by $\mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ the group of polynomial automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbb{K}}$. We consider $\mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $\mathrm{BA}_n(\mathbb{K})$, resp. $\mathrm{TA}_n(\mathbb{K})$), the subgroup of $\mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ of affine (resp. triangular, resp. tame) automorphisms (see Section 2 or [4] for precise definitions). In this paper we are interested with the question of finding proper intermediate subgroups between $\mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathrm{TA}_n(\mathbb{K})$.

If $n = 2$, it is well known that such intermediate subgroups exist. The classical Jung-van der Kulk theorem ([5, 6]) states that $\mathrm{GA}_2(\mathbb{K}) = \mathrm{TA}_2(\mathbb{K})$ and, moreover, $\mathrm{GA}_2(\mathbb{K})$ is the amalgamated free product of $\mathrm{Aff}_2(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathrm{BA}_2(\mathbb{K})$ along their intersection. Using this structure theorem, we can uniquely define the height of any automorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_2(\mathbb{K})$ as the maximum of the degrees of the triangular automorphisms in any reduced decomposition of $\phi$, and let $H_d$ denote the set of all automorphisms of height at most $d$. Then we have $\mathrm{Aff}_2(\mathbb{K}) = H_1 \subset H_2 \subset H_3 \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{TA}_2(\mathbb{K})$ is an ascending sequence of (proper) subgroups of $\mathrm{TA}_2(\mathbb{K})$. In particular, for all $\beta \in \mathrm{BA}_2(\mathbb{K}) \setminus \mathrm{Aff}_2(\mathbb{K})$ then $\langle \mathrm{Aff}_2(\mathbb{K}), \beta \rangle$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{TA}_2(\mathbb{K})$.

*ERIM, University of New Caledonia. Email address: eric.edo@univ-nc.nc

†Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama. Email address: dlewis@ua.edu

In the case that $n > 2$ and $\mathbb{K}$ has positive characteristic, then it is also known that there are many intermediate subgroups between $\mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\mathrm{TA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ (see, for example, [3]). However, in characteristic zero, the question is much more nuanced[1]. The first partial results in this direction concern subgroups of the form $\langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K}), \beta \rangle$ for a single automorphism $\beta \in \mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K}) \setminus \mathrm{Aff_n}(\mathbb{K})$. In 1997, Derksen gave an elementary proof (unpublished, but see [4] Theorem 5.2.1 for a proof) that the triangular automorphism $\sigma := (x_1 + x_2^2, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathrm{BA}_n(\mathbb{K})$, along with the affine subgroup, generates the entire tame group (when $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$); that is, $\langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K}), \sigma \rangle = \mathrm{TA}_n(\mathbb{K})$. This motivated the definition of *co-tame* automorphisms as follows:

**Definition 1.** An automorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is called *co-tame* if $\langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K}), \phi \rangle \supset \mathrm{TA}_n(\mathbb{K})$.

One can naturally ask:

**Question 1.** *Let $n \geq 3$, and let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field of characteristic zero. Is every automorphism in $\mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ co-tame?*

Note that this is intimately related to the question of finding intermediate subgroups, as an example of an automorphism $\beta$ which is tame but not co-tame would provide an intermediate subgroup $\mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K}) \subset \langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K}), \beta \rangle \subset \mathrm{TA}_n(\mathbb{K})$.

In 2004, Bodnarchuk [1] generalized Derksen's result in the following way: if $\mathbb{K}$ has characteristic zero, then all non-affine triangular and bitriangular automorphisms (i.e., elements of the form $\beta_1 \alpha \beta_2$ for some $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathrm{BA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K})$) are co-tame. Interestingly, the first author [2] recently showed that certain wild (i.e., not tame) automorphisms, including the famous Nagata automorphism, are co-tame.

In this paper, we provide a negative answer to Question 1 when $n = 3$ by constructing an automorphism which is tame but not co-tame. More precisely, fix an integer $N \geq 1$ and consider the automorphisms $\beta = (x + y^2(y + z^2)^2, y + z^2, z) \in \mathrm{BA}_3(\mathbb{K})$, $\pi = (y, x, z) \in \mathrm{Aff}_3(\mathbb{K})$ and $\theta_N = (\pi\beta)^N \pi (\pi\beta)^{-N} \in \mathrm{TA}_3(\mathbb{K})$. We prove the following result (without any assumption about the characteristic of $\mathbb{K}$):

**Main Theorem.** *For all integers $N \geq 3$, the automorphism $\theta_N$ is not co-tame. In other words, $\langle \mathrm{Aff}_3(\mathbb{K}), \theta_N \rangle$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{TA}_3(\mathbb{K})$. Moreover, this group is the amalgamated free product of $\mathrm{Aff}_3(\mathbb{K})$ and $\langle \mathcal{C}, \theta_N \rangle$ along their intersection $\mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C} = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Aff}_3(\mathbb{K}) \mid \alpha\theta_N = \theta_N\alpha\}$ is a finite cyclic group.*

*Remark* 1. $\theta_1$ is co-tame by the aforementioned result of Bodnarchuk.

The main theorem immediately implies the result in the title of this paper:

[1] Recently, Wright [8] showed that in characteristic zero, $\mathrm{TA}_3(\mathbb{K})$ is an amalgamated free product of three subgroups along their pairwise intersection, which implies a much weaker structure on $\mathrm{TA}_3(\mathbb{K})$. Unlike in dimension two, we no longer have a reasonably unique representation of every tame automorphism.

**Corollary 1.** *For any field* $\mathbb{K}$, $\mathrm{Aff}_3(\mathbb{K})$ *is not a maximal subgroup of* $\mathrm{TA}_3(\mathbb{K})$.

In section 2, we describe some general, commonly used definitions. We make some specific notations and definitions in section 3 which are necessary to state our key technical result (Theorem 3). This statement of Theorem 3 and the proofs of its consequences (including the main theorem) comprise section 4. The proof of Theorem 3 is quite technical, and is deferred to the ultimate section.

# 2 General definitions

## 2.1 Degrees

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. We denote by $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ the polynomial algebra in $n$ commutative variables $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. We write $\mathbf{x}^v = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ for any $v = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. For a given $P \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ we denote by supp $(P) \subset \mathbb{N}^n$ the *support* of $P$; that is, the set of $n$-tuples $v \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that the coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^v$ in $P$ is nonzero.

The main technical tool in this paper is the use of various degree functions. Here, we mean "degree function" in a little more generality than most authors, so we give a precise definition. Typically, the co-domain of a degree function is the natural numbers or the integers; we instead consider any totally ordered commutative monoid $M$, and set $\overline{M} = M \cup -\infty$ with the convention that $-\infty + n = -\infty$ and $-\infty < n$ for all $n \in M$.

**Definition 2.** Let $A$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-domain and $M$ a totally ordered commutative monoid. A map $\deg : A \to \overline{M}$ is called a *degree function* provided that

1. $\deg(f) = -\infty$ if and only if $f = 0$,
2. $\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$ for all $f, g \in A$,
3. $\deg(f + g) \leq \max\{\deg(f), \deg(g)\}$ for all $f, g \in A$.

Two easy consequences of the definition are that $\deg(c) = 0$ for any $c \in \mathbb{K}^*$, and that if $\deg(f) \neq \deg(g)$, then equality holds in property 3.

The two families of degree functions that we will use are *weighted degree* and *lexicographic degree*, the latter of which takes values in $\mathbb{N}^n$.

- For any $w \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, we denote the *w-weighted degree* of $P$ by

$$\deg_w(P) = \max_{v \in \text{supp } (P)} \{v \cdot w\},$$

  where $(\cdot)$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The case $w = (1, \ldots, 1)$ corresponds to the usual notion of the total degree of a polynomial.

- For an integer $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\geq_i$ denote the $i$-th cyclic lexicographic ordering of $\mathbb{N}^n$; that is, the standard basis vectors are ordered by

$$e_i >_i e_{i+1} >_i \cdots >_i e_n >_i e_1 >_i \cdots >_i e_{i-1}.$$

Letting $\max_i$ denote the maximum with respect to this ordering, we define the *i-th lexicographic degree* of $P$ to be

$$\mathrm{ldeg}_i(P) = \max_i(\mathrm{supp}\ (P))$$

*Example* 1. Let $P = x + y^2(y + z^2)^2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{(4,1,0)}(P) &= 4 & \mathrm{ldeg}_1(P) &= (1,0,0) \\ \deg_{(4,0,1))}(P) &= 4 & \mathrm{ldeg}_2(P) &= (0,4,0) \\ \deg_{(8,2,1)} P &= 8 & \mathrm{ldeg}_3(P) &= (0,2,4). \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Polynomial Automorphisms

We adopt the following standard notations of polynomial automorphism groups:

- $\mathrm{MA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ denotes the monoid of polynomial endomorphisms; that is, the set $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]^n$ with the composition

$$(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n) = (\phi_1(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n), \ldots, \phi_n(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n)).$$

- $\mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is the group of polynomial automorphisms (or the *general automorphism group*), defined to be the group of invertible elements of $\mathrm{MA}_n(\mathbb{K})$.

- The *affine subgroup* of $\mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is

$$\mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K}) = \{(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n) \in \mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K}) \mid \deg_{(1,\ldots,1)}(\phi_i) = 1 \text{ for each } 1 \le i \le n\}.$$

- The *triangular subgroup* of $\mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is

$$\mathrm{BA}_n(\mathbb{K}) = \{(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n) \in \mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K}) \mid \phi_i \in \mathbb{K}^* x_i + \mathbb{K}[x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n] \text{ for each } 1 \le i \le n\}$$

- The *tame subgroup* is $\mathrm{TA}_n(\mathbb{K}) = \langle \mathrm{Aff}_n(\mathbb{K}), \mathrm{BA}_n(\mathbb{K}) \rangle$. It is well known to be the entire group $\mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ for $n = 1, 2$, while Shestakov and Umirbaev [7] famously showed that it is a proper subgroup when $n = 3$ and $\mathrm{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$. Whether it is a proper subgroup or not is a well known, quite difficult open question in higher dimensions and/or positive characteristic.

The group $\mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is isomorphic to the group of automorphisms of $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ over $\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbb{K}$, and is anti-isomorphic to the group of $\mathbb{K}$-automorphisms of $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$. We freely abuse this correspondence and, given $\phi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $P \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$, we denote by $(P)\phi \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ the image of $P$ by the $\mathbb{K}$-automorphism of $\mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ corresponding to $\phi$. By writing the automorphism on the right, the expected composition holds, namely $(P)\phi\psi = ((P)\phi)\psi$ for $P \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$. We refer the reader to [4] for a comprehensive reference on polynomial automorphisms.

We make one elementary observation on how one can compute the degree of the image of a polynomial under an automorphism. We will use this frequently and without further mention.

**Lemma 2.** *Let $\gamma \in \mathrm{GA}_n(\mathbb{K})$, and let $P \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$. Let $\deg : \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}] \to \overline{M}$ denote a degree function (for some totally ordered commutative monoid $M$), and let $m \in M$. If $\deg((\mathbf{x}^v)\gamma) \leq m$ for all $v \in \mathrm{supp}\ (P)$, then $\deg((P)\gamma) \leq m$. Moreover, if $\deg((\mathbf{x}^v)\gamma) = m$ for a unique $v \in \mathrm{supp}\ (P)$ then $\deg((P)\gamma) = m$.*

# 3 Notations

For the remainder of this paper, we restrict our attention to dimension 3. For convenience, we set $\mathbf{x} = \{x, y, z\}$ instead of $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Aff}_3(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $\mathcal{B} = \mathrm{BA}_3(\mathbb{K})$) the subgroup of affine (resp. triangular) automorphisms.

We fix also an integer $N \geq 3$ and we consider the following automorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\beta = (x + y^2(y + z^2)^2, y + z^2, z) \in \mathcal{B} \\
&\pi = (y, x, z) \in \mathcal{A} \\
&\theta = \theta_N = (\pi\beta)^N \pi (\pi\beta)^{-N} \in \mathrm{TA}_3(\mathbb{K}).
\end{aligned}$$

*Remark* 2. We will repeatedly make use of the fact that $\pi$ and $\theta$ are involutions, i.e. $\beta^2 = \pi^2 = \mathrm{id}$.

## 3.1 Some sets of polynomials

Let $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$ be integers. The following technical definitions will play a crucial role in our methods (see figures 1 and 2):

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{m,n} &= \{(i,j,k) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \mid 4i + j \leq 4m,\ 4i + k \leq 4m + n,\ 8i + 2j + k \leq 8m + n\} \\
\mathcal{P}_{m,n} &= \{P \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}] \mid \mathrm{supp}\ (P) \in P_{m,n}\} \\
&= \{P \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}] \mid \deg_{(4,1,0)}(P) \leq 4m,\ \deg_{(4,0,1)}(P) \leq 4m + n,\ \deg_{(8,2,1)}(P) \leq 8m + n\} \\
\mathcal{P}^*_{m,n} &= \{P \in \mathcal{P}_{m,n} \mid \mathrm{ldeg}_2(P) = (0, 4m, n),\ \mathrm{ldeg}_3(P) = (0, 2m, 4m + n)\} \\
Q_{m,n} &= \{(i,j,k) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \mid i + j \leq m,\ 3i + 3j + k \leq 3m + n\} \\
\mathcal{Q}_{m,n} &= \{P \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}] \mid \mathrm{supp}\ (P) \in Q_{m,n}\} \\
&= \{P \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}] \mid \deg_{(1,1,0)} \leq m,\ \deg_{(3,3,1)} \leq 3m + n\} \\
\mathcal{Q}^*_{m,n} &= \{P \in \mathcal{Q}_{m,n} \mid \mathrm{ldeg}_2(P) = (0, m, n)\}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we consider

$$\mathcal{P}^* = \bigcup_{m \geq 1, n \geq 0} \mathcal{P}^*_{m,n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}^* = \bigcup_{m \geq 1, n \geq 0} \mathcal{Q}^*_{m,n}$$

For all integers $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$, one can easily check that $\mathcal{P}^*_{m,n} \subset \mathcal{Q}^*_{4m,n}$, and thus $\mathcal{P}^* \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$.

*Example* 2. $(x^m z^n)\beta \in \mathcal{P}^*_{m,n}$ for all $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 0$.




Figure 1: $P_{m,n}$

*Remark* 3. These definitions are variations of a standard tool for studying polynomials, namely the Newton polytope. The Newton polytope of a polynomial $P$ is defined as $\mathrm{New}(P) = \mathrm{conv}\left(\mathrm{supp}\ (P) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}\right)$ (here, conv denotes the convex hull in $\mathbb{R}^3$). For any $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, let $\mathrm{cub}(a, b, c)$ denote the rectangular cuboid

$$\mathrm{cub}(a, b, c) = \{(i, j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \mid 0 \leq i \leq a,\ 0 \leq j \leq b,\ 0 \leq k \leq c\}.$$

For a set $S \subset \mathbb{N}^3$, we set

$$\mathrm{cub}(S) = \bigcup_{(a,b,c)\in S} \mathrm{cub}(a, b, c).$$

Then we can interpret $P_{m,n}$ as

$$P_{m,n} = \mathrm{cub}\left(\mathrm{supp}\ \left((x + y^2(y + z^2)^2)^m z^n\right)\right).$$

In particular, $\mathrm{New}\left(((x + y^2(y + z^2)^2)^m z^n\right) \cap \mathbb{N}^3 \subset P_{m,n}$.




Figure 2: $Q_{m,n}$

### 3.2 Some subgroups of the affine group

We consider the following nested sequence of subgroups of the affine group:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A} = \mathrm{Aff}_3(\mathbb{K}),\\
&\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \mathrm{Aff}_3(\mathbb{K}) \cap \mathrm{BA}_3(\mathbb{K}),\\
&\mathcal{A}_2 = \{(u^8x + by + cz + d, u^2y, uz) \mid u \in \mathbb{K}^*,\ b, c, d \in \mathbb{K}\},\\
&\mathcal{A}_3 = \{(u^8x + cz + d, u^2y, uz) \mid u \in \mathbb{K}^*,\ c, d \in \mathbb{K}\},\\
&\mathcal{A}_4 = \{(u^2x, u^2y, uz) \mid u \in \mathbb{K}^*, u^6 = 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we set $\mathcal{C} = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \mid \alpha\theta = \theta\alpha\}$, we have $\mathcal{A}_4 \subset \mathcal{C}$ since for every element $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_4$ it's easy to check that $\alpha\pi = \pi\alpha$ and $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$. The opposite inclusion $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}_4$ is a consequence of our main result.

## 4 Main results

Using results from section 5, we prove our main technical result.

**Theorem 3.** *The set $\mathcal{P}^*$ is stable under the action of the automorphisms $\pi\beta$, $\pi\beta^{-1}$ and $(\pi\beta^{-1})^3\alpha\pi(\pi\beta)^3$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_4$.*

*Proof.* If $\gamma \in \{\beta, \beta^{-1}\}$ then $(\mathcal{P}^*)\pi\gamma \subset \mathcal{P}^*$ by Proposition 10. To show that $(\pi\beta^{-1})^3\alpha\pi(\pi\beta)^3$ preserves $\mathcal{P}^*$, we separately consider the four cases $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{i-1} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_i$ $(i \in \{1,2,3,4\})$. In particular, we have:

1) If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_0 \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_1$ then $(\mathcal{P}^*)\alpha\beta \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$ (Proposition 12).

2) If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_2$ then $(\mathcal{P}^*)\pi\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$ (Proposition 14).

3) If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_2 \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_3$ then $(\mathcal{P}^*)\pi\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta\pi\beta \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$ (Proposition 15).

4) If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_3 \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_4$ then $(\mathcal{P}^*)(\pi\beta^{-1})^2\alpha\beta\pi\beta \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$ (Proposition 16).

But by Proposition 10, $(\mathcal{Q}^*)\pi\beta \subset \mathcal{P}^*$, so we can simply apply $\pi\beta$ once more to end up in $\mathcal{P}^*$. Thus $(\mathcal{P}^*)(\pi\beta^{-1})^3\alpha\pi(\pi\beta)^3 \subset \mathcal{P}^*$. □

*Remark* 4. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_4$, then $(\pi\beta^{-1})^3\alpha\pi(\pi\beta)^3 = \pi\alpha$, which does not preserve $\mathcal{P}^*$ in general. However, since $\mathcal{A}_4 \subset \mathcal{C}$, we will take advantage of the commutativity of these elements to push them out of the way.

**Corollary 4.** *Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathcal{A}$, and set $\phi = \alpha_0\theta\alpha_1 \cdots \theta\alpha_r$. If $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r-1} \in \mathcal{A} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_4$, then there exist $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(y)\alpha\phi\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}^*$.*

*Proof.* We set $\theta' = \theta\pi = (\pi\beta)^N(\pi\beta^{-1})^N$; $\alpha = \alpha_0^{-1}$; $\alpha' = \alpha_r^{-1}\pi$; and $\alpha_i' = \pi\alpha_i\pi$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Note that since $\mathcal{A}_4$ is fixed under conjugation by $\pi$, $\alpha_1', \ldots, \alpha_{r-1}' \notin \mathcal{A}_4$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha\phi\alpha' &= \theta'\alpha_1'\pi\theta'\alpha_2'\pi \cdots \theta'\alpha_{r-1}'\pi\theta' \\ &= (\pi\beta)(\pi\beta)^{N-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1}(\pi\beta^{-1})^N\alpha_i'\pi(\pi\beta)^N\right)(\pi\beta^{-1})^N\end{aligned}$$

Since $(y)\pi\beta \in \mathcal{P}_{1,0}^* \subset \mathcal{P}^*$, we deduce $(y)\alpha\phi\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}^*$ by Theorem 3. □

**Corollary 5.** *Let $\phi \in \langle \mathcal{A}, \theta\rangle \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}$. Then there exist $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(y)\alpha\phi\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}^*$.*

*Proof.* Since $\theta^{-1} = \theta$ and $\phi \in \langle \mathcal{A}, \theta\rangle$, we can write $\phi = \alpha_0\theta\alpha_1\theta \cdots \alpha_r\theta\alpha_r$ for some $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathcal{A}$. If some $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{C}$ $(1 \leq i \leq r-1)$, then we can shorten our sequence. Thus, since $\phi \notin \mathcal{A}$, we may assume $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r-1} \in \mathcal{A} \smallsetminus \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_4$ and $r \geq 1$, and hence the result follows from Corollary 4 □

**Corollary 6.** *$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_4$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}$ is a finite cyclic group of order $1, 2, 3$ or $6$.*

*Proof.* We noted above that $\mathcal{A}_4 \subset \mathcal{C}$, so we are left to prove the opposite containment. Suppose for contradiction that there exists $\rho \in \mathcal{C} \smallsetminus \mathcal{A}_4$. By Corollary 4 (with $r = 2$, $\alpha_0 = \alpha_2 = \mathrm{id}$ and $\alpha_1 = \rho$), there exist $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(y)\alpha\theta\rho\theta\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}^*$. Since $\rho$ commutes with the involution $\theta$, we have $\alpha\theta\rho\theta\alpha' = \alpha\rho\alpha' \in \mathcal{A}$. This is a contradiction, as for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathrm{ldeg}_2((y)\gamma) \leq_2 (0,1,0) <_2 (0,4m,n)$ for any $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 0$ and thus $(y)\gamma \notin \mathcal{P}^*$. □

**Corollary 7.** *Let $\phi \in \mathrm{TA}_3(\mathbb{K})$ be a tame automorphism with $\deg_{(1,1,1)}((f)\phi) \leq 5$ for all $f \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}]$ with $\deg_{(1,1,1)}(f) = 1$. If $\phi \notin \mathcal{A}$, then $\phi \notin \langle \mathcal{A}, \theta \rangle$. In particular, $\langle \mathcal{A}, \theta \rangle$ is a proper subgroup of $\mathcal{T}$.*

*Proof.* Suppose for contradiction that $\phi \in \langle \mathcal{A}, \theta \rangle$. Applying Corollary 5 to $\phi$, there exist $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(y)\alpha\phi\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}^*$. Since $\deg_{(1,1,1)}(y)\alpha = 1$, by assumption $\deg_{(1,1,1)}((y)\alpha\phi) \leq 5$, and so $\deg_{(1,1,1)}((y)\alpha\phi\alpha') \leq 5$ (since $\alpha'$ is affine). But if $P = (y)\alpha\phi\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}^*$, there must exist integers $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$ such that $P \in \mathcal{P}^*_{m,n}$, in which case $(0, 2m, 4m + n) \in \mathrm{supp}\ (P)$. Thus $5 \geq \deg_{(1,1,1)}(P) \geq 6m + n \geq 6$, a contradiction. So we must have $\phi \notin \langle \mathcal{A}, \theta \rangle$. □

*Example* 3. $(x + y^2, y, z) \in \mathrm{TA}_3(\mathbb{K}) \setminus \langle \mathcal{A}, \theta \rangle$.

**Corollary 8.** *The group $\langle \mathcal{A}, \theta \rangle$ is the amalgamated free product of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\langle \mathcal{C}, \theta \rangle$ along their intersection $\mathcal{C}$.*

*Proof.* Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{r-1} \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \langle \mathcal{C}, \theta \rangle$ and $\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_r \in \langle \mathcal{C}, \theta \rangle \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Set $\phi := \rho_1\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{r-1}\rho_r$. Since it is clear that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\langle \mathcal{C}, \theta \rangle$ generate $\langle \mathcal{A}, \theta \rangle$, it suffices to check that $\phi \notin \mathcal{C}$. Using the fact that $\theta$ is an involution, we can write $\rho_i = \theta c_i$ for some $c_i \in \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}_4$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. By Corollary 4 (with $\alpha'_0 = \mathrm{id}$, $\alpha'_i = c_i\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_4$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r - 1$ and $\alpha'_r = c_r$), there exist $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $(y)\alpha\phi\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}^*$. As in the proof of Corollary 6, this implies $\phi \notin \mathcal{A}$ and hence $\phi \notin \mathcal{C}$ as required. □

The Main Theorem in the introduction is a direct consequence of these last two corollaries.

# 5 Proofs of the five propositions

The technical details necessary to prove Theorem 3, namely Propositions 10, 12, 14, 15, and 16, are contained in this section. The basic idea is to understand the actions of various automorphisms on $\mathcal{P}^*$ and $\mathcal{Q}^*$. First, we show in section 5.1 that the map $\pi\beta$ behaves very nicely in this respect (in particular, it preserves $\mathcal{P}^*$). The subsequent two sections study how affine automorphisms affect things. The essential idea is that an affine map can distort $\mathcal{P}^*$ some, but this can be rectified by subsequent applications of $\pi$ and/or $\beta$. For technical reasons, we treat triangular affine maps separately in the final section, and non-triangular affine maps in section 5.2.

## 5.1 From $\mathcal{Q}^*_{m,n}$ to $\mathcal{P}^*_{m,n}$

**Definition 3.** Let $\gamma \in \mathrm{GA}_3(\mathbb{K})$, and set $w_1 = (4, 1, 0)$, $w_2 = (4, 0, 1)$, and $w_3 = (8, 2, 1)$. $\gamma$ is called *$\beta$-shaped* if $\deg_{w_i} \gamma = \deg_{w_i} \beta$ and $\mathrm{ldeg}_i\, \gamma = \mathrm{ldeg}_i\, \beta$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3$.

*Example* 4. $\beta$ and $\beta^{-1} = (x - y^2(y - z^2)^2, y - z^2, z)$ are both $\beta$-shaped.

**Lemma 9.** *Let $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$ be integers. If $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ is $\beta$-shaped, then $(\mathcal{Q}^*_{m,n})\pi\gamma \subset \mathcal{P}^*_{m,n}$.*

*Proof.* Let $P \in \mathcal{Q}^*_{m,n}$, and write $\gamma = (X, Y, Z)$. Then since $\gamma$ is $\beta$-shaped, the degrees of $X$, $Y$, and $Z$ are given in the following table:

| | $\deg_{(4,1,0)}$ | $\deg_{(4,0,1)}$ | $\deg_{(8,2,1)}$ | $\mathrm{ldeg}_1$ | $\mathrm{ldeg}_2$ | $\mathrm{ldeg}_3$ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $X$ | 4 | 4 | 8 | $(1,0,0)$ | $(0,4,0)$ | $(0,2,4)$ |
| $Y$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | $(0,1,0)$ | $(0,1,0)$ | $(0,0,2)$ |
| $Z$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | $(0,0,1)$ | $(0,0,1)$ | $(0,0,1)$ |

Since $P \in \mathcal{Q}^*_{m,n}$, then for all $v = (i,j,k) \in \mathrm{supp}\,(P)$, we have $i + j \leq m$, $3i + 3j + k \leq 3m + n$ and $(\mathbf{x}^v)\pi\gamma = Y^i X^j Z^k$. We deduce:

$$\deg_{(4,1,0)}((\mathbf{x}^v)\pi\gamma) = i + 4j \leq 4(i+j) \leq 4m,$$
$$\deg_{(4,0,1)}((\mathbf{x}^v)\pi\gamma) = 2i + 4j + k \leq (i+j) + (3i + 3j + k) \leq m + 3m + n = 4m + n,$$
$$\deg_{(8,2,1)}((\mathbf{x}^v)\pi\gamma) = 2i + 8j + k \leq 5(i+j) + (3i + 3j + k) \leq 5m + 3m + n = 8m + n,$$
$$\mathrm{ldeg}_2((\mathbf{x}^v)\pi\gamma) = (0, i + 4j, k) \leq_2 (0, 4m, n),$$
$$\mathrm{ldeg}_3((\mathbf{x}^v)\pi\gamma) = (0, 2j, 2i + 4j + k) \leq_3 (0, 2m, 4m + n).$$

We note that each of these last two inequalities is an equality if and only if $(i,j,k) = (0,m,n)$ which belongs to supp $(P)$. Thus $(P)\pi\gamma \in \mathcal{P}^*_{m,n}$. □

Applying this to $\beta$ and $\beta^{-1}$, and recalling that $\mathcal{P}^* \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$, we have

**Proposition 10.** *If $\gamma \in \{\beta, \beta^{-1}\}$ then $(\mathcal{Q}^*)\pi\gamma \subset \mathcal{P}^*$ and $(\mathcal{P}^*)\pi\gamma \subset \mathcal{P}^*$.*

## 5.2 The non triangular case

The following technical lemma is necessary to prove Proposition 12.

**Lemma 11.** *Let $(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \{(0,0,0)\}$. We set $f(v) = ai + bj + ck$ for $v = (i,j,k) \in P_{m,n}$. Set $m' = \max\{f(v) \mid v \in P_{m,n}\}$.*

*1) If $b > \max\{\frac{a}{4}, 2c\}$ and $c \neq 0$ then $f(v) = m'$ if and only if $v = (0, 4m, n)$.*

*2) If $b > \frac{a}{4}$ and $c = 0$ then $f(v) = m'$ if and only if $v = (0, 4m, d)$ with $0 \leq d \leq n$.*

*3) If $c > \max\{\frac{b}{2}, \frac{a-2b}{4}\}$ and $b \neq 0$ then $f(v) = m'$ if and only if $v = (0, 2m, 4m + n)$.*

*4) If $c > \frac{a}{4}$ and $b = 0$ then $f(v) = m'$ if and only if $v = (0, d, 4m + n)$ with $0 \leq d \leq 2m$.*

*5) If $c = \frac{a-2b}{4} > \frac{b}{2}$, then $f(v) = m'$ if and only if $v = (m - d, 2d, 4d + n)$ with $0 \leq d \leq m$.*

*Proof.* Let conv denote the convex hull in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Define $S_1, S_2 \in P_{m,n}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 = \{&(0,0,0),(m,0,0),(0,4m,0),(m,0,n),\\ &(0,4m,n),(0,2m,4m+n),(0,0,4m+n)\}\\ S_2 = \{&(m,0,n),(0,4m,n),(0,2m,4m+n)\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $S_2 \subset S_1$. It is easy to check (see Figure 1) that conv $P_{m,n} =$ conv $S_1$ (and in fact, $P_{m,n} = (\text{conv } S_1) \cap \mathbb{N}^3$). Then, since $f$ is a linear form and $a, b, c \geq 0$ , we have

$$m' = \max\{f(v) \mid v \in \text{conv } P_{m,n}\} = \max\{f(v) \mid v \in S_1\} = \max\{f(v) \mid v \in S_2\}$$

We deduce

$$m' = \max\{am+cn, 4bm+cn, 2bm+4cm+cn\} = m\left(\max\{a, 4b, 2b+4c\}\right)+cn.$$

Cases 1) and 2): If $b > \max\{\frac{a}{4}, 2c\}$ then $m' = 4bm + cn$, and $E := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid f(v) = m'\}$ is a plane which contains $(0, 4m, n)$ and neither $(m, 0, n)$ nor $(0, 2m, 4m+n)$. Since conv $P_{m,n} =$ conv $S_1$ and $E$ is not parallel to any face of conv $S_1$, then $E \cap$ conv $P_{m,n}$ must be either a single point or an edge in $S_1$; thus we must either have $E \cap P_{m,n} = \{(0, 4m, n)\}$ or $E \cap P_{m,n} = \{(0, 4m, d) \mid 0 \leq d \leq n\}$. It is easy to check that the former case happens precisely when $c \neq 0$, and the latter when $c = 0$.

Cases 3) and 4): If $c > \max\{\frac{b}{2}, \frac{a-2b}{4}\}$, then $m' = 2bm + 4cm + cn$, and $E := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid f(v) = m'\}$ is a plane which contains $(0, 2m, 4m + n)$ and neither $(m, 0, n)$ nor $(0, 4m, n)$. Since conv $P_{m,n} =$ conv $S_1$and $E$ is not parallel to any face of conv $S_1$, then $E \cap$ conv $P_{m,n}$ must be either a single point in $S_1$, or a line segment connecting two points in $S_1$; thus we must either have $E \cap P_{m,n} = \{(0, 2m, 4m + n)\}$ or $E \cap P_{m,n} = \{(0, d, 4m + n) \mid 0 \leq d \leq 2m\}$. It is easy to check that the former case happens precisely when $b \neq 0$, and the latter when $b = 0$.

Case 5) : If $c = \frac{a-2b}{4} > \frac{b}{2}$, then $m' = ma+cn$, and $E := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid f(v) = m'\}$ is a plane containing $(m, 0, n)$ and $(0, 2m, 4m + n)$ but not $(m, 0, n)$. Then $E \cap \text{conv} P_{m,n}$ is the line segment from $(m, 0, n)$ to $(0, 2m, 4m + n)$, giving the result. □

**Definition 4.** A degree function deg is called *$\beta$-lexicographic* if, writing $\beta = (X, Y, Z)$, $\deg(X) > \deg(Y) > \deg(Z)$.

*Example* 5. The three lexicographic degrees, as well as the weighted degrees for the weights $(4, 1, 0)$, $(4, 0, 1)$, $(8, 2, 1)$, $(1, 1, 0)$, and $(3, 3, 1)$ are all $\beta$-lexicographic, as is the usual degree (i.e. the $(1, 1, 1)$-weighted degree).

**Proposition 12.** *Let $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$ be integers. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_0 \setminus \mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}$. Then there exist $m' \geq m$, $n' \geq 0$ such that $(\mathcal{P}^*_{m,n})\alpha\beta \subset \mathcal{Q}^*_{m',n'}$.*

*Proof.* Let deg be a $\beta$-lexicographic degree function. We observe that for any fixed $v = (i, j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $\deg\left((\mathbf{x}^v)\alpha\beta\right) = \deg(X^{i'}Y^{j'}Z^{k'})$ for some triple

$(i', j', k') \in \mathbb{N}^3$. Moreover, since $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \notin \mathcal{B}$, it must be the case that $(i', j', k')$ is one of the following 15 triples: $(i+j+k, 0, 0)$, $(i+j, k, 0)$, $(i+j, 0, k)$, $(i+k, j, 0)$, $(i+k, 0, j)$, $(j+k, i, 0)$, $(j+k, 0, i)$, $(i, k, j)$, $(j, i, k)$, $(j, k, i)$, $(k, i, j)$, $(k, j, i)$, $(i, j+k, 0)$, $(j, i+k, 0)$, $(k, i+j, 0)$. We alert the reader that the triple $(i', j', k')$ is determined only by $\alpha$ and is independent of $(i, j, k)$ and the choice of $\beta$-lexicographic degree function deg. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\deg_{(1,1,0)}(X^{i'}Y^{j'}Z^{k'}) &= 4i' + j' \\
\deg_{(3,3,1)}(X^{i'}Y^{j'}Z^{k'}) &= 3(4i' + j') + k' \\
\mathrm{ldeg}_2(X^{i'}Y^{j'}Z^{k'}) &= (0, 4i' + j', k').
\end{aligned}$$

We can write $4i'+j' = ai+bj+ck = f(i, j, k)$, and $3(4i'+j')+k' = a'i+b'j+c'k = (3a+\epsilon)i+(3b+\mu)j+(3c+\nu)k = g(i, j, k)$ where $a, b, c \in \{0, 1, 4\}$ and $a' = 3a+\epsilon$, $b' = 3b + \mu$ and $c' = 3c + \nu$ where $\epsilon, \mu, \nu \in \{0, 1\}$ (since $k' \in \{0, i, j, k\}$). We note that $a'$, $b'$, and $c'$ must all be nonzero. We set

$$\begin{aligned}
m' &= \max\{f(v) \mid v \in P_{m,n}\} \\
n' &= \max\{g(v) \mid v \in P_{m,n}\} - 3m'.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider $P \in \mathcal{P}^*_{m,n}$. We recall that this implies

$$\{(0, 4m, n), (0, 2m, 4m + 2n)\} \subset \text{supp } (P) \subset P_{m,n}.$$

Setting $Q = (P)\alpha\beta$,we will show $Q \in \mathcal{Q}^*_{m',n'}$. Note that

$$\deg(Q) = \max_{(i,j,k)\in \text{supp } (P)} \deg(X^{i'}Y^{j'}Z^{k'})$$

where $(i', j', k')$ is one of the 15 triples above where $(i, j, k) \in$ supp $(P)$. Since supp $(P) \subset P_{m,n}$, our definitions of $m'$ and $n'$ immediately imply $\deg_{(1,1,0)}(Q) \leq m'$ and $\deg_{(3,3,1)}(Q) \leq 3m'+n'$. It remains to check that $\mathrm{ldeg}_2(Q) = (0, m', n')$; to do so, we show that there exists a unique $v = (i, j, k) \in$ supp $(P)$ such that $\mathrm{ldeg}_2(X^{i'}Y^{j'}Z^{k'}) = (0, m', n')$. Equivalently, we show that there exists a unique $v = (i, j, k) \in$ supp $(P)$ such that $f(v) = m'$ and $g(v) = 3m' + n'$.

Case 1) Suppose $(i', j', k') \in \{(i + j, k, 0), (i + j, 0, k), (j, i, k), (j, k, i), (j, i + k, 0)\}$. In this case $b = 4$ (since $j$ appears in the first component) and $c \leq 1$ (since $k$ does not appear in the first component). We deduce $b > \max\{\frac{a}{4}, 2c\}$ and $b' > \max\{\frac{a'}{4}, 2c'\}$. Note that $c' \neq 0$, so applying the preceding lemma (Case 1) to $g$ yields that $v = (0, 4m, n)$ is the unique element in $P_{m,n}$, hence in supp $(P)$, such that $g(v) = 3m' + n'$. But the lemma (Case 1 or 2) applied to $f$ implies $f(0, 4m, n) = m'$ as required.

Case 2) Suppose $(i', j', k') \in \{(i + j + k, 0, 0), (i + k, j, 0), (j + k, i, 0), (j + k, 0, i), (k, j, i), (k, i + j, 0), (i + k, 0, j), (k, i, j)\}$. In this case $c = 4$ (since $k$ appears in the first component) . We deduce $c > \max\{\frac{b}{2}, \frac{a-2b}{4}\}$ and $c' >$

$\max\{\frac{b'}{2}, \frac{a'-2b'}{4}\}$. Since $b' \neq 0$, the preceding lemma (Case 3) applied to $g$ gives that $v = (0, 2m, 4m+n)$ is the unique element in $P_{m,n}$, hence in supp $(P)$, such that $g(v) = 3m' + n'$. But the lemma (Case 3 or 4) applied to $f$ implies $f(0, 2m, 4m+n) = m'$ as required.

Case 3) Suppose $(i', j', k') \in \{(i, j+k, 0), (i, k, j)\}$. In this case $a' = 12$ and $c' = 3$ (with $b' \in \{1, 3\}$). We deduce $c' > \max\{\frac{b'}{2}, \frac{a'-2b'}{4}\}$. The lemma (Case 3) applied to $g$ yields that $v = (0, 2m, 4m+n)$ is the unique element in $P_{m,n}$, hence in supp $(P)$, such that $g(v) = 3m' + n'$. But the lemma applied to $f$ (case 3 or 5) implies $f(0, 2m, 4m+n) = m'$ as required. □

## 5.3 The triangular case

Once again, we begin with a technical lemma which will aid in the proof of Proposition 14

**Lemma 13.** *Let $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$ be integers. Let $\gamma = (X, Y, Z) \in \mathcal{B}$ be such that $\mathrm{ldeg}_2(X) = (0, b, c)$ where $b \geq 1$ and $c \geq 0$, $\deg_{(3,3,1)}(X) = 3b + c$ and $\deg_{(3,3,1)}(Y) = 3$. Then $(\mathcal{P}^*_{m,n})\pi\gamma \subset \mathcal{Q}^*_{m',n'}$ where $m' = 4bm \geq m$ and $n' = 4cm + n$.*

*Proof.* Note that the assumptions (particularly $\gamma \in \mathcal{B}$) immediately imply

$$\begin{array}{lll} \deg_{(1,1,0)}(X) = b & \deg_{(1,1,0)}(Y) = 1 & \deg_{(1,1,0)}(Z) = 0 \\ \deg_{(3,3,1)}(X) = 3b + c & \deg_{(3,3,1)}(Y) = 3 & \deg_{(3,3,1)}(Z) = 1 \\ \mathrm{ldeg}_2(X) = (0, b, c) & \mathrm{ldeg}_2(Y) = (0, 1, 0) & \mathrm{ldeg}_2(Z) = (0, 0, 1) \end{array}$$

Let $v = (i, j, k) \in P_{m,n}$. Noting that $(\mathbf{x}^v)\pi\gamma = Y^i X^j Z^k$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_{(1,1,0)}((\mathbf{x})^v \pi\gamma) &= i + bj \\ &\leq b(4i + j) \\ &\leq 4bm = m' \\ \deg_{(3,3,1)}((\mathbf{x})^v \pi\gamma) &= 3i + (3b + c)j + k \\ &\leq (3b + c - 2)(4i + j) + (8i + 2j + k) \\ &\leq (3b + c - 2)(4m) + (8m + n) \\ &= 3m' + n' \\ \mathrm{ldeg}_2((\mathbf{x})^v \pi\gamma) &= (0, i + bj, cj + k) \\ &\leq_2 (0, b(4i + j), n') \\ &\leq_2 (0, m', n') \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, equality is attained in the last case if and only if $(i, j, k) = (0, 4m, n)$, which is in the support of every element of $\mathcal{P}^*_{m,n}$. Thus we see $(\mathcal{P}^*_{m,n})\pi\gamma \subset \mathcal{Q}^*_{m',n'}$ as desired. □

**Proposition 14.** *If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus \mathcal{A}_2$ then $(\mathcal{P}^*)\pi\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$.*

*Proof.* We write $\alpha = (a_1x+b_1y+c_1z+d_1, b_2y+c_2z+d_2, c_3z+d_3)$ with $a_1, b_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $b_1, c_1, d_1, c_2, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{K}$. Set $\gamma = \beta^{-1}\alpha\beta$, and write $\gamma = (X, Y, Z)$. We will show that we can apply Lemma 13 to $\gamma$. A direct computation shows

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= c_3z + d_3 \\ Y &= b_2y + ez^2 + fz + g \\ X &= a_1x + F_4y^4 + F_3y^3 + F_2y^2 + F_1y + F_0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_4 &= a_1 - b_2^4 & F_3 &= 2(a_1z^2 - b_2^3(Z_2 + Z_3)) \\ F_2 &= a_1z^4 - b_2^2(Z_2^2 + 4Z_2Z_3 + Z_3^2) & F_1 &= b_1 - 2b_2(Z_2 + Z_3)Z_2Z_3 \\ F_0 &= Z_1 - Z_2^2Z_3^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$e = b_2 - c_3^2 \qquad f = c_2 - 2c_3d_3 \qquad g = d_2 - d_3^2,$$

and

$$Z_1 = b_1z^2 + c_1z + d_1 \qquad Z_2 = b_2z^2 + c_2z + d_2 \qquad Z_3 = ez^2 + fz + g.$$

We easily check that $\deg_{(3,3,1)}(Y) = 3$. Set $\operatorname{ldeg}_2(X) = (0, b, c)$ where $b, c \geq 0$ (and in fact, $b \leq 4$). It remains to be checked that $b \geq 1$ and $\deg_{(3,3,1)}(X) = 3b + c$.

Since $Z_1, Z_2$ and $Z_3$ are polynomials in $z$ of degree $\leq 2$, the support of $X$ contains $(1, 0, 0)$ and some points $(0, j, k)$ such that $2j+k \leq 8$ and $j \leq b$. Hence

$$\deg_{(3,3,1)}(X) = \max\{3j + k\,;\, (0, j, k) \in \operatorname{supp}\,(X)\} \leq 8 + b.$$

We now examine the possible cases. First, suppose $a_1 \neq b_2^4$. Then $F_4 \neq 0$, so $(b, c) = (4, 0)$ and $\deg_{(3,3,1)}(X) = 12 = 3b + c$, and Lemma 13 completes the proof.

Next, assume for the remainder that $a_1 = b_2^4$, so $F_4 = 0$. If $e \neq 0$, then $F_3$ is a degree 2 polynomial in $z$, hence $(b, c) = (3, 2)$ and $\deg_{(3,3,1)}(X) = 11 = 3b+c$, and again Lemma 13 completes the proof..

So we may now assume $e = 0$ (so $Z_3 = fz+g$ and $F_3 = -2b_2^3\left((c_2 + f)z + 2(d_2 + g)\right)$) as well. If $c_2 + f \neq 0$, then $F_3$ is a degree 1 polynomial in $z$, hence $(b, c) = (3, 1)$ and $\deg_{(3,3,1)}(X) = 10 = 3b + c$, and again Lemma 13 completes the proof.

We now additionally assume $c_2 + f = 0$ (so $F_3 = 2(d_2 + g)$) as well. If $d_2 + g \neq 0$, then $(b, c) = (3, 0)$. In this case, one can check that $F_2$ is a polynomial of degree at most 3, so $\deg_{(3,3,1)}(X) = 9 = 3b + c$.

Next, we assume that $d_2+g = 0$ as well (so $F_3 = 0$). Then $F_2$ is a polynomial in $z$ of degree at most 3. If $c_2 + 2f \neq 0$, $F_2$ has degree 3, so $(b, c) = (2, 3)$ and $\deg_{(3,3,1)}(X) = 9 = 3b + c$.

Finally, we must consider the case $c_2 + 2f = 0$. Since we were already assuming $c_2 + f = 0$, we have $c_2 = 0$ and $f = 0$, hence $d_3 = 0$. But since

$0 = d_2 + g = d_2 + (d_2 - d_3)^2 = 2d_2$, we have $d_2 = 0$. Since $e = 0$, we have $b_2 = c_3^2$, and since $a_1 = b_2^4$, we thus can simplify $\alpha$ to

$$\alpha = (c_3^8 x + b_1 y + c_1 z + d_2, c_3^2 y, c_3 z)$$

and note that this precisely means that $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_2$. In particular, since $\alpha \notin \mathcal{A}_2$, we must have $b > 1$. Thus the result follows immediately from Lemma 13

□

**Proposition 15.** *If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus \mathcal{A}_3$ then $(\mathcal{P}^*)\pi\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta\pi\beta \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$.*

*Proof.* Since $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_2$, we can write $\alpha = (u^8 x + b_1 y + c_1 z + d_1, u^2 y, uz) \in \mathcal{A}_2 \setminus \mathcal{A}_3$ for some $u, b_1 \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $c_1, d_1 \in \mathbb{K}$. A direct computation shows that

$$\pi\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta\pi\beta = (u^2 X, u^8 Y + b_1 X + b_1 z^2 + c_1 z + d_1, uz)$$

where $X = (x)\beta = x + y^2(y + z^2)^2$ and $Y = (y)\beta = y + z^2$. Since $b_1$ is nonzero, the rest of the proof proceeds exactly along the lines of the case $(i', j', k') = (i + j, 0, k)$ (Case 1) in Proposition 12. □

**Proposition 16.** *If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_3 \setminus \mathcal{A}_4$ then $(\mathcal{P}^*)(\pi\beta^{-1})^2\alpha\beta\pi\beta \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$.*

*Proof.* Since $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_3$, we can write $\alpha = (u^8 x + c_1 z + d_1, u^2 y, uz)$ for some $u \in \mathbb{K}^*$ and $c_1, d_1 \in \mathbb{K}$. We compute

$$\gamma := \pi\beta^{-1}\alpha\beta\pi = (u^2 x, u^8 y + c_1 z + d_1, uz).$$

Clearly $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and one easily checks that $\gamma \notin \mathcal{A}_2$. Then Proposition 14 implies that $(\mathcal{P}^*)(\pi\beta^{-1})^2\alpha\beta\pi\beta = (\mathcal{P}^*)\pi\beta^{-1}\gamma\beta \subset \mathcal{Q}^*$. □

# References


[1] Y. Bodnarchuk, *On generators of the tame invertible polynomial maps group*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput, **15** (2005), no. 5-6, 851-867.

[2] E. Edo, *Coordinates of R[x,y]: Constructions and Classifications*, Comm. Algebra, **12** (2013) Vol. 41, 4694–4710

[3] E. Edo, S. Kuroda, *Generalisations of the tame automorphisms over a domain of positive characteristic*, Transform. Groups, arXiv:1309.2377.

[4] A. van den Essen, *Polynomial Automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture,* Birkhauser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin (2000).

[5] H. Jung, *Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **184** (1942), 161–174.

[6] W. van der Kulk, *On polynomial rings in two variables*, Nieuw. Arch. Wisk. (3) **1** (1953), 33–41.

[7] I. Shestakov and U.Umirbaev, *The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **17** (2004), no. 1, 197–227.

[8] D. Wright, *The amalgamated product structure of the tame automorphism group in dimension three*, arXiv:1310.8325 (2013).